

# Optimal Fiscal and Monetary Policy

Chari and Kehoe, Handbook of Macro

February 16, 2007

## *Outline*

- This survey: How to use the *primal approach* in order to solve Ramsey Problems
- Develop some insights in a static public finance framework (mainly: Uniform Taxation Principle)
- Proceed to an application in dynamic fiscal policy under uncertainty: Lucas and Stokey (1983)
- Example of the Uniform Taxation Principle in LS.

## Static Framework

- consumption goods  $c_i, i = 1, \dots, n$  with prices  $p_i$  and tax rate  $\tau_i$ . Labor  $l$  with  $w = 1$ .

- Utility of the agent

$$U(c_1, \dots, c_n, l)$$

- Problem of the consumer

$$\max_{\{c, l\}} U(c_1, \dots, c_n, l)$$

s.t.

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i(1 + \tau_i)c_i = l$$

- Representative firm produces  $x_i$  units of each good  $i$  with the input  $l$  with the *CRS* technology

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_n, l) = 0$$

- Problem of the firm

$$\max_{\{x, l\}} \sum_i p_i x_i - l$$

s.t.

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_n, l) = 0$$

- Market clearing

$$c_i + g_i = x_i, i = 1, \dots, n$$

- Government Budget Constraint

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i g_i = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \tau_i c_i$$

Definition: *CE with Taxes is a policy  $\pi \equiv \{\tau_i\}$ ; a  $(c, l, x)$  allocation; and a price system  $p$  such that a) consumers maximize utility b) producers maximize profits c) markets clear d) the government budget constraint holds.*

- *Ramsey Allocation Problem:* Choose the CE that maximizes the utility of the RA.

- *Primal approach*: Eliminate prices and taxes through the focs and work with *allocations*.

- Consumer's FOC

$$\frac{U_i}{U_l} = -p_i(1 + \tau_i) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \underbrace{\frac{-U_l}{U_i}}_{\text{MRS leisure with good } i} = \frac{1}{\underbrace{p_i(1 + \tau_i)}_{\text{real wage}}}$$

- Firm's FOC

$$p_i = -\frac{F_i}{F_l} \Rightarrow \frac{U_i}{U_l} = \frac{F_i}{F_l}(1 + \tau_i)$$

Thus we get the *inefficiency wedge*

$$\underbrace{\frac{U_i}{U_j}}_{\text{MRS } i \text{ with } j} = \underbrace{\frac{F_i}{F_j}}_{\text{MRT } i \text{ with } j} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{1 + \tau_i}{1 + \tau_j}}_{\text{wedge}}$$

- Replace prices and taxes in consumer's budget constraint to get the *Implementability Constraint*

$$\sum_i U_i c_i + U_l l = 0$$

- *Resource Constraint*

$$F(c_1 + g_1, \dots, c_n + g_n, l) = 0$$

- *Ramsey Problem*

$$\max_{\{c, l\}} U(c, l)$$

s.t.

$$\sum_i U_i c_i + U_l l = 0, \quad (\lambda)$$

$$F(c_1 + g_1, \dots, c_n + g_n, l) = 0 \quad (\gamma)$$

- FOC

$$\begin{aligned}(1 + \lambda)U_i - \lambda U_i H_i &= \gamma F_i, i = 1, \dots, n \\ (1 + \lambda)U_l - \lambda U_l H_l &= \gamma F_l\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}H_i &\equiv -\frac{\sum_j U_{ji}c_j + U_{li}l}{U_i} \\ H_l &\equiv -\frac{\sum_j U_{lj}c_j + U_{ll}l}{U_l}\end{aligned}$$

- *Remark:* if  $\lambda = 0 \Rightarrow$  first best.

- Combining FOCS we get

$$\frac{\tau_i}{1 + \tau_i} = \frac{\lambda U_l}{\gamma F_l}(H_i - H_l) = \frac{\lambda(H_i - H_l)}{1 + \lambda - \lambda H_l}$$



So

$$\frac{\frac{\tau_i}{1+\tau_i}}{\frac{\tau_j}{1+\tau_j}} = \frac{H_i - H_l}{H_j - H_l}$$

- Thus if  $H_i > H_j \Rightarrow \tau_i > \tau_j$
- *Case 1*: Assume that utility is *additively separable* in consumption goods *and* labor. Then

$$\frac{H_i}{H_j} = \frac{\eta_j}{\eta_i}$$

where  $\eta_j \equiv \frac{\partial c_j m}{\partial m c_j}$  : income elasticity of good  $i \Rightarrow$  Tax *more the necessity* than the *luxury*.

Case 2: Utility is *additively separable* and *linear* in labor

$$U(c, l) = \sum_i V^i(c_i) - l$$

Then

$$\frac{H_i}{H_j} = \frac{\varepsilon_j}{\varepsilon_i}$$

where  $\varepsilon_i$ : price elasticity of  $i \Rightarrow$  Tax more *inelastic* goods (P.E. result)

Case 3: Utility is weakly *separable* and *homothetic*

$$U(c, l) = W(\varphi(c_1, \dots, c_n), l)$$

$\varphi$ : homothetic. Then

$$H_i = H_j \Rightarrow \tau_i = \tau_j$$

This is the *Uniform Commodity Taxation* Result.

*Application of the Primal approach in Dynamic Fiscal Policy: Lucas and Stokey (1983)*

- Representative agent/only labor/*complete* markets. State  $s^t \sim \pi_t$
- Agent consumes  $c_t$ , works  $h_t$  and is endowed with one unit of leisure.
- Linear Technology ( $\Rightarrow w_t = 1$ )

$$c_t + g_t = h_t.$$

- Random *exogenous* government expenditures  $g_t$ . Dynamic budget constraint

$$b_t(s^t) + g_t(s^t) = \tau(s^t)h_t(s^t) + \sum_{s_{t+1}} p_t(s_{t+1}, s^t)b_{t+1}(s_{t+1}, s^t).$$

- Agent's Problem with *time-separable expected utility*

$$\max_{c,h} E_0 \sum_{t=0} \beta^t U(c_t, 1 - h_t)$$

s.t.

$$\sum_{t,s^t} q_t(s^t) c_t(s^t) = \sum_{t,s^t} q_t(s^t) (1 - \tau_t(s^t)) h_t(s^t) + b_0.$$

$$c_t(s^t) \geq 0$$

- FOCs.

$$\frac{U_l}{U_c} = 1 - \tau_t \quad \text{and} \quad q_t(s^t) = \beta^t \pi_t(s^t) \frac{U_c(s^t)}{U_c(s^0)}$$

- *Remark:* Complete markets + Absence of Capital  $\Rightarrow$  Make the problem *static*
- *Ramsey Problem:* Choose  $\{c, h\}$  to max

$$E_t \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t U(c_t, 1 - h_t)$$

s.t.

$$\sum_{t, s^t} \beta^t \pi_t(s^t) \left[ U_c(s^t) c_t(s^t) - U_l(s^t) h_t(s^t) \right] - U_c(0) b_0 = 0 \quad (\Phi)$$

$$c_t + g_t = h_t \quad (\beta^t \pi_t \lambda_t)$$

FOCs ( $b_0 = 0$ ):

$$c_t : (1 + \Phi)U_c - \Phi U_c H_c = \lambda_t$$

$$h_t : -(1 + \Phi)U_l + \Phi U_l H_l = -\lambda_t$$

with  $H_c \equiv -\frac{U_{cc}c - U_{cl}h}{U_c}$  and  $H_l \equiv \frac{U_{ll}h - U_{lc}c}{U_l}$

- Combining the two conditions we get the "standard" foc:

$$(1 + \Phi)(U_{lt} - U_{ct}) = \Phi[U_{cc}c_t - U_{lc}(c_t + h_t) + U_{ll}h_t]$$

- Static equation  $\Rightarrow$  Use RC to solve for consumption

$$c_t = c(g_t; \Phi)$$

$$h_t = h(g_t; \Phi)$$

*Allocation and tax rates inherit stochastic properties of  $g_t$ .*

## *Explorations of Uniform Taxation*

- Proceed now like in the Static Setup: Tax rate is

$$1 - \frac{U_{lt}}{U_{ct}} = \tau_t = \frac{\Phi(H_{ct} - H_{lt})}{1 + \Phi(1 - H_{lt})}$$

Taxation depends on  $H_{ct}$  and  $H_{lt}$ .

- *Case 1: Separable and linear* in labor (no income effects in  $c$ )

$$U = u(c) - h$$

Then  $H_{lt} = 0 \Rightarrow c_t = \bar{c} \Rightarrow \tau_t = \bar{\tau}$  ( $\varepsilon_i = \varepsilon_j$ ) and labor is

$$h_t = \bar{c} + g_t$$

- *Case 2: Separable and linear* in consumption (no income effects in labor)

$$U = c - v(h)$$

Then  $H_{ct} = 0 \Rightarrow h_t = \bar{h} \Rightarrow \tau_t = \bar{\tau}$  and consumption is a "residual"  
 $c_t = \bar{h} - g_t$

- *Case 3: Separable, homothetic* in consumption and *homothetic* in labor

$$U = \frac{c^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} - \frac{h^{1+\varphi_n}}{1+\varphi_n}$$

Then  $H_c = \gamma, H_l = -\varphi_n$ . So the tax rate is

$$\bar{\tau} = \frac{\Phi(\gamma + \varphi_n)}{1 + \Phi(1 + \varphi_n)} \quad \forall t, s^t$$

For example, if  $\gamma$  high  $\Rightarrow$  IES low  $\Rightarrow$  tax high.