The Optimal Degree of Discretion in Monetary Policy

Susan Athey, Andrew Atkeson and Patrick Kehoe *Econometrica (2005)*

March 4, 2008

The Optimal Degree of Discretion in Monetary Policy

March 4, 2008 1 / 14

What is discretion?

- Society designs the optimal rules governing the conduct of monetary policy by the monetary authority.
- Agreed-upon social welfare function depends on random state of the economy.
- Monetary authority is assumed to observe this state while agents do not.
- Monetary authority has *discretion* if its policy is allowed to vary with its private information.

Introduction

- What is the optimal level of discretion in monetary policy? How does it depend on the time inconsistency problem and on the private information?
- Canzoneri (1985): "There are incentive compatible policy rules that could be legislated, but they are more complicated than what is usually envisioned..."
- Can the optimal mechanism be characterized?
- How can the society implement the optimal mechanism by legislating some policy rules?

Model Setup: General Structure

- At beginning of period t, agents choose growth rate of individual's (aggregate) nominal wage z_t (x_t).
- Next, monetary authority observes θ_t where θ_t ~ *iid* with mean 0 and density p(θ) > 0 ∀θ ∈ [θ, θ].
- Given θ_t , monetary authority chooses money growth $\mu_t \in [\underline{\mu}, \overline{\mu}]$ to maximize:

$$R(x_t, \mu_t, \theta_t) = -\frac{1}{2} [(U + x_t - \mu_t)^2 + (\mu_t - \alpha \theta_t)^2]$$

• For any x, let $\mu^*(\theta; x)$ be the static best response, i.e. $R_{\mu}(x, \mu(\theta), \theta) = 0.$

Two Ramsey Benchmarks with Full Information

• Ramsey policy solves

$$\max_{x,\mu(\theta)} \int R(x,\mu(\theta),\theta) p(\theta) d\theta$$

s.t. $x = \int \mu(\theta) p(\theta) d\theta$

$$\max_{x,\mu} \int R(x,\mu,\theta) p(\theta) d\theta$$

s.t. $x = \mu$

э

Mechanism Design Problem: Direct Revelation Game

- Society specifies monetary policy $\{\mu_t(h_t, \hat{\theta}_t)\}$, for all $h_t, \hat{\theta}_t$ where $h_t = (\hat{\theta}_0, \hat{\theta}_1, ..., \hat{\theta}_{t-1})$.
- Monetary authority chooses reporting strategy $\{m_t(h_t, \theta_t)\}$ for all $h_t, \hat{\theta}_t$, where $m_t(h_t, \theta_t) \in [\underline{\theta}, \overline{\theta}]$.
- Given $\mu_t(h_t, \theta_t)$, each agent chooses $z_t(h_t)$:

$$z_t(h_t) = \int \mu_t(h_t, \theta) p(\theta) d\theta$$

Aggregate wages:

$$x_t(h_t) = z_t(h_t)$$

• Optimal monetary policy maximizes:

$$(1-\beta)\sum_{t=0}^{\infty}\int \beta^t R(x_t(h_t),\mu_t(h_t,\theta_t),\theta_t)p(\theta_t)d\theta_t$$

Perfect Bayesian Equilibrium (PBE)

A PBE is given a monetary policy $\{\mu_t(\theta_t)\}\)$, a reporting strategy $\{m_t(\theta_t)\}\)$, a strategy for individual wages $\{z_t(h_t)\}\)$ and average wages $\{x_t(h_t)\}\)$ such that:

(1) Average wages equal expected inflation:

$$z_t(h_t) = \int \mu_t(h_t, \theta) p(\theta) d\theta$$

(2) monetary policy is incentive-compatible (truth-telling equilibria)

$$m_t(h_t, \theta_t) = \theta_t$$

Recursive Formulation: APS factorization

- Any payoff of the repeated game can be factorized into a current payoff and a continuation value $w(\hat{\theta})$.
- Actions $x, \mu(\cdot)$ and continuation value $w(\cdot)$ are *enforceable by* W if:

$$\begin{split} w(\widehat{\theta}) &\in W \\ x &= \int \mu(\theta) p(\theta) d\theta \\ (1-\beta) R(x,\mu(\theta),\theta) + \beta w(\theta) &\geq (1-\beta) R(x,\mu(\widehat{\theta}),\theta) + \beta w(\widehat{\theta}) \end{split}$$

Recursive Formulation: APS factorization cont'd

The expected payoff corresponding to x, $\mu(\cdot)$ and $w(\cdot)$ is:

$$V(x,\mu(\cdot),w(\cdot)) = \int [(1-eta)R(x,\mu(heta), heta) + eta w(heta)] p(heta) d heta$$

Define the operator T as:

 $T(W) = \{ v \mid \exists x, \mu(\cdot), w(\cdot) \text{ enforceable by } W : v = v(x, \mu(\cdot), w(\cdot)) \}$

The set of IC payoffs W^* is given by the largest W such that:

$$W = T(W)$$

Define $\overline{W} = \max W^*$

Static and Dynamic Mechanisms

- A mechanism is *static* if $w(\theta) = \overline{w}$ for all θ .
- A mechanism is *dynamic* if $w(\theta) < \overline{w}$ for some set of θ with strictly positive probability.
- An allocation is *locally incentive-compatible* if it satisfies:

 $\mu(\theta)$ is non-decreasing in θ

$$R_{\mu}(x,\mu(heta), heta)rac{d\mu(heta)}{d heta}+rac{dw(heta)}{d heta}=0$$

Given the single-crossing assumption (SCA), i.e. $R_{\mu\theta}(x, \mu, \theta) > 0$, an allocation is incentive-compatible iff it is locally incentive-compatible.

Static and Dynamic Mechanisms Cont'd

• Monotone hazard condition (MHC):

$$\frac{1 - P(\theta)}{\rho(\theta)} R_{\theta\mu}(x, \mu(\theta), \theta) \text{ is strictly decreasing in } \theta$$

Proposition 1: Under SCA and MHC, the optimal mechanism is static.

Discretion

- The monetary policy μ(θ) has no discretion if μ(θ) = μ for some constant μ.
- The monetary policy $\mu(\theta)$ has bounded discretion if it takes the form:

$$u = \begin{cases} \mu^*(\theta; x) & \text{if } \theta \in [\underline{\theta}, \theta^*) \\ \mu^* = \mu^*(\theta^*, x) & \text{if } \theta \in [\theta^*, \overline{\theta}] \end{cases}$$

where $\mu^*(\theta, x)$ is the static best response given $x = \int \mu(\theta) p(\theta) d\theta$

Proposition 2: Under SCA and MHC, the optimal policy $\mu(\theta)$ has either no discretion or bounded discretion.

Time Inconsistency and Private Information

Ramsey policies:
$$\mu^R(\theta) = \alpha \frac{\theta}{2}$$
 $x^R = 0$ Nash policies: $\mu^*(\theta; U) = U + \alpha \frac{\theta}{2}$ $x^N = U$

Proposition 3: Under SCA and MHC:

(i) If $\frac{U}{\alpha} \leq -\underline{\theta}$, the optimal policy has bounded discretion with $\theta^* < \overline{\theta}$. The optimal degree of discretion θ^* is decreasing in $\frac{U}{\alpha}$.

(ii) If $\frac{U}{\alpha} > -\underline{\theta}$, the optimal policy is the expected Ramsey policy with no discretion.

Time Inconsistency and Private Information Cont'd

