

# On Efficient Distribution with Private Information

Andrew Atkeson and Robert Lucas, Jr.  
*RES (1992)*

October 16, 2008

# Introduction

- Consider an exchange economy with individuals that experience idiosyncratic taste shocks which are their private information and a planner who looks for an efficient allocation of resources.
- In asymmetric information context: efficiency v. incentives
- Study the dynamics of the efficient distribution of consumption in an environment with private information on the individuals' side.
- Propose a recursive formulation of the problem

## Model: Setup

- Two types of agents: planner and individuals
- Asymmetric information: idiosyncratic taste shocks of individuals are unobserved by planner
- Taste shocks  $\theta \in \Theta \equiv \{\bar{\theta}_1, \dots, \bar{\theta}_n\}$ ,  $\bar{\theta}_1 > \dots > \bar{\theta}_n$  and prob. measure  $\mu$  with  $\mu(\bar{\theta}_i) > 0 \forall i$ , and  $E(\theta) = 1$ .
- Shock history  $\theta^t = (\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_t) \in \Theta^{t+1}$  and  $\mu^{t+1}$  be the prob. measure of shock histories  $\theta^t$
- Individual's *reporting strategy*:  $z = \{z_t(\theta^t)\}_{t=0}^{\infty} \in Z$  where  $z_t : \Theta^{t+1} \rightarrow \Theta$
- Let  $w \in D$  be the promised expected value to an individual.
- Individuals maximize lifetime utility given by

$$E \left\{ \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} (1 - \beta) \beta^t V(c_t(w, z^t)) \theta_t \right\}$$

## Model: Setup Cont'd

- A *plan* assigned by planner is a sequence  $u = \{u_t(w, z^t)\}_{t=0}^{\infty} \in S$  such that:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \beta^t \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \beta^s u_{t+s}(w, \theta^{t+s}) \theta_{t+s} = 0$$

- The individual's total expected utility function is given by:

$$U(w, u, z) = (1 - \beta) \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \int_{\Theta^{t+1}} u_t(w, z^t(\theta^t)) \theta_t d\mu^{t+1}$$

## Planner's Problem

Choose  $u = \{u_t(w, z^t)\}_{t=0}^{\infty} \in S$  to

$$\min y$$

subject to:

(i) Plan  $u$  is an *allocation*, i.e.  $u$  satisfies:

$$(a) U(w, u, z^*) \geq U(w, u, z) \quad \forall w \in D, \forall z \in Z$$

where  $z^*$  is the truthful reporting strategy, i.e.  $z_t^*(\theta^t) = \theta_t$

$$(b) w = U(w, u, z^*) \quad \forall w \in D$$

(ii) Plan  $u$  attains the *utility distribution*  $\psi$  with resources  $y$ , i.e.

$$\int_{D \times \Theta^{t+1}} C[u_t(w, \theta^t)] d\mu^{t+1} d\psi \leq y \quad \forall t$$

where  $C : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is the inverse function of  $V$

# Recursive Formulation

- Consider  $\sigma = \{f_t, g_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$  where  $f_t, g_t : D \times \Theta \rightarrow D$

The plan  $u$  generated by  $\sigma$  is derived from:

$$\begin{aligned}w_{t+1} &= g_t(w_t, z_t) \text{ with initial } w_0 \\u_t(w_0, z^t) &= f_t[w_t(w_0, z^{t-1}), z_t]\end{aligned}$$

- A sequence  $\sigma$  is an *allocation rule* if:

(i)  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \beta^t w_t(w_0, \theta^{t-1}) = 0 \quad \forall w_0 \in D, \forall \{\theta_t\} \in \Theta^{\infty}$

(ii)  $w = \int_{\Theta} [(1 - \beta)f_t(w, \theta)\theta + \beta g_t(w, \theta)] d\mu \quad \forall t, \forall w \in D$

(iii)  $(1 - \beta)f_t(w, \theta)\theta + \beta g_t(w, \theta) \geq (1 - \beta)f_t(w, \hat{\theta})\theta + \beta g_t(w, \hat{\theta})$   
 $\forall t, \forall \theta, \hat{\theta} \in \Theta$

## Recursive Formulation Cont'd

Given an initial  $\psi$ ,  $\{g_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$  defines  $\{\psi_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$  as  $\psi_0 = \psi$  and  $\psi_{t+1} = S_{g_t}\psi_t$  where the operator  $S_g : M \rightarrow M$  is given by

$$(S_g\psi)(D_0) = \int_{B_g(D_0)} d\mu d\psi$$

where  $B_g(D_0) = \{(w, \theta) \in D \times \Theta : g(w, \theta) \in D_0\}$  and  $D_0$  is an arbitrary Borel subset of  $D$

# Results

**Lemma 3.1.:** Let  $\psi \in M$  and suppose  $u$  attains  $\psi$  with resources  $y$ . Then there exists an allocation rule  $\sigma$  that attains  $\psi$  with resources  $y$ .

**Lemma 3.2.:** Let  $\psi \in M$ . Suppose  $\sigma$  attains  $\psi$  with resources  $y$  and that  $u$  is the utility plan generated by  $\sigma$ . Then  $u$  is an allocation, and  $u$  attains  $\psi$  with resources  $y$ .



# Bellman Equation for Efficient Allocations

Let  $\varphi^*(\psi) = \inf\{y : \exists \text{ an alloc. } u \text{ that attains } \psi \text{ with resources } y\}$

An alloc.  $u$  is *efficient* if it attains a dist.  $\psi$  with resources  $\varphi^*(\psi)$ .

$$(T\varphi)(\psi) = \inf_{f,g \in B} \max \left\{ \int_{D \times \Theta} C[f(w, \theta)] d\mu d\psi, \varphi(S_g \psi) \right\}$$

subject to:

$$(i) (1 - \beta)f(w, \theta)\theta + \beta g(w, \theta) \geq (1 - \beta)f(w, z)\theta + \beta g(w, z)$$

$$(ii) w = \int_{\Theta} [(1 - \beta)f(w, \theta)\theta + \beta g(w, \theta)] d\mu \quad \forall w \in D$$

**Lemma 4.1.:**  $\varphi^*$  is a fixed point of  $T$ .

## Bellman Equation for Efficient Allocations Cont'd

**Lemma 4.2.:** Suppose there are functions  $\varphi_a, \varphi_c$  and  $\varphi$  such that  $\forall \psi \in M$ ,

$$(i) \varphi_c \leq \varphi \leq \varphi_a$$

$$(ii) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n \varphi_a = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n \varphi_c = \varphi.$$

Then  $\varphi = \varphi^*$ .

Candidate  $\varphi_a$  and  $\varphi_c$  are:

$$\varphi_a(\psi) = \int_D C(w) d\psi$$

$$\varphi_c(\psi) : \varphi_c(\psi) \text{ solves}$$

$$\min_u \int_{D \times \Theta} C[u(w, \theta)] d\psi d\mu$$

subject to  $\int_{\Theta} \theta u(w, \theta) d\mu = w$

## Example: $V(c) = \log(c)$ and *i.i.d.* shocks

Bounding functions  $\varphi_a$  and  $\varphi_c$  are given by

$$\varphi_a = \int_D \exp(w) d\psi \qquad \alpha_a = 1$$

$$\varphi_c = \exp\{-E[\theta \log \theta]\} \int_D \exp(w) d\psi \qquad \alpha_c = \exp\{-E[\theta \log \theta]\}$$

Consider the following *Problem P*

$$\phi(\alpha) = \min_{r,h} \max \left\{ \int_{\Theta} \exp(r(\theta)) d\mu, \alpha \int_{\Theta} \exp(h(\theta)) d\mu \right\}$$

subject to:  $(1 - \beta)\theta r(\theta) + \beta h(\theta) \geq (1 - \beta)\theta r(z) + \beta h(z)$

$$\int_{\Theta} [(1 - \beta)\theta r(\theta) + \beta h(\theta)] d\mu = 0$$

Example:  $V(c) = \log(c)$  and *i.i.d.* shocks Cont'd

**Lemma 5.2.:** For any  $\alpha > 0$  let the minimum of *Problem P* attained by  $r(\theta; \alpha)$ ,  $h(\theta; \alpha)$ . Let  $\varphi(\psi) = \alpha \int_D \exp(w) d\psi$ . Then,

$$(T\varphi)(\psi) = \phi(\alpha) \int_D \exp(w) d\psi$$

and the optimal pair  $(f, g)$  is  $(r(\theta; \alpha) + w, h(\theta; \alpha) + w)$ .

## Example: $V(c) = \log(c)$ and *i.i.d.* shocks Cont'd

The evolution of individual promised values and period utility are respectively:

$$w_t(w_0, \theta^{t-1}) = w_0 + \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} h(\theta_s)$$

$$u_t(w_0, \theta^t) = f_t(w_t(w_0, \theta^{t-1}), \theta_t) = w_0 + \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} h(\theta_s) + r(\theta_t)$$

The variance of the cross-sectional distribution of promised values and period utilities are:

$$\text{Var}(w_t(w_0, \theta^{t-1})) = \text{Var}(w_0) + t \cdot \text{Var}(h(\theta))$$

$$\text{Var}(u_t(w_0, \theta^t)) = \text{Var}(w_0) + t \cdot \text{Var}(h(\theta)) + \text{Var}(r(\theta))$$