

Reservation Wages and Unemployment Insurance

Robert Shimer

Ivan Werning

October 2006 (forthcoming QJE)

Motivation

- Baily (1978), Chetty (2006) propose a test for optimality of the level of unemployment benefits based on:
 1. Elasticity of unemployment durations with respect to benefits
 2. Observed drop in lifetime consumption as a result of unemployment
 3. Properties of utility function - risk aversion, prudence etc.

Motivation

- Baily (1978), Chetty (2006) propose a test for optimality of the level of unemployment benefits based on:
 1. Elasticity of unemployment durations with respect to benefits
 2. Observed drop in lifetime consumption as a result of unemployment
 3. Properties of utility function - risk aversion, prudence etc.

- Propose an alternative test based on:
 1. Elasticity of unemployment durations with respect to benefits
 2. Responsiveness of reservation wage to change in benefits

Overview of Ideas

- Benefit system is optimal if gains from a revenue-neutral increase in benefits equal costs
- Cost: higher payments and longer average duration
- Benefit: higher average welfare through shift of consumption from employed to unemployed state
- CARA preferences \Rightarrow welfare is increasing function of reservation wages
- Elasticity of reservation wages and durations w.r.t to benefits can be used to assess optimality of system

Model

- Single risk-averse worker with preferences:

$$E \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\rho t} U(c(t)) dt$$
$$U(c) = -e^{-\gamma C}$$

- **Unemployed**

- Benefit rate, $b \Rightarrow y = b$
- Job offer arrival rate, λ
- Job offer is wage, $w \sim F$

- **Employed**

- Wage rate, w
- Constant Taxes, $\tau \Rightarrow y = w - \tau$
- Job lasts for T periods, time remaining = t

Worker Behavior

1. **Risk-free Bond:** $\dot{a}(t) = ra(t) + y(t) - c(t)$

If $\rho = r$ then:

$$V_u(a) = \frac{U(ra + \bar{w} - \tau)}{\rho}$$

Worker Behavior

1. **Risk-free Bond:** $\dot{a}(t) = ra(t) + y(t) - c(t)$

If $\rho = r$ then:

$$V_u(a) = \frac{U(ra + \bar{w} - \tau)}{\rho}$$

2. **Autarky:** $c(t) = y(t)$

$$V_u^{aut} = \frac{U(\bar{w}^{aut} - \tau)}{\rho}$$

Worker Behavior

1. **Risk-free Bond:** $\dot{a}(t) = ra(t) + y(t) - c(t)$

If $\rho = r$ then:

$$V_u(a) = \frac{U(ra + \bar{w} - \tau)}{\rho}$$

2. **Autarky:** $c(t) = y(t)$

$$V_u^{aut} = \frac{U(\bar{w}^{aut} - \tau)}{\rho}$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{w}^{aut} < \bar{w}$$

Planner's Problem

- Choose b, τ to maximize $V_u(a)$ or V_u^{aut} at zero expected cost.
- Equivalent to maximizing \bar{w} or \bar{w}^{aut}

Planner's Problem

- Choose b, τ to maximize $V_u(a)$ or V_u^{aut} at zero expected cost.
- Equivalent to maximizing \bar{w} or \bar{w}^{aut}
- Flow NPV cost of program:

$$rC = b - \lambda(1 - F(\bar{w})) (C - [e^{-rT}C - \alpha_T\tau])$$

- Expected duration of unemployment spell:

$$D = \frac{1}{\lambda(1 - F(\bar{w}))}$$

- Budget constraint: $Db = \alpha_T\tau$ where $\alpha_t = \int_0^t e^{-rs} ds$
- As $r \rightarrow 0$, $\alpha_T = T$ so budget constraint becomes $ub = (1 - u)\tau$

A Behavioral Test

- Denote elasticity of average unemployment duration w.r.t benefits:

$$\epsilon_{D,b} = b \frac{D_b(b, \tau)}{D(b, \tau)}$$

- If unemployment benefit and taxes are optimal then:

$$\bar{w}_b(b^*, \tau^*) = \frac{D(b^*, \tau^*)}{\alpha_T + D(b^*, \tau^*)} (1 + \epsilon_{D,b}(b^*, \tau^*))$$

A Behavioral Test

- Denote elasticity of average unemployment duration w.r.t benefits:

$$\epsilon_{D,b} = b \frac{D_b(b, \tau)}{D(b, \tau)}$$

- If unemployment benefit and taxes are optimal then:

$$\bar{w}_b(b^*, \tau^*) = \frac{D(b^*, \tau^*)}{\alpha_T + D(b^*, \tau^*)} (1 + \epsilon_{D,b}(b^*, \tau^*))$$

- As $r \rightarrow 0$, this becomes:

$$\bar{w}_b(b^*, \tau^*) = u(b^*, \tau^*) \left(1 + \frac{\epsilon_{u,b}(b^*, \tau^*)}{1 - u(b^*, \tau^*)} \right)$$

Extensions

Discount Rate \neq Interest Rate

\Rightarrow no change with CARA since effect on c is level shift

Extensions

Discount Rate \neq Interest Rate

\Rightarrow no change with CARA since effect on c is level shift

Sampling Job Durations

\Rightarrow let $\hat{\alpha} = E[\alpha_T | w > \bar{w}]$

$\Rightarrow \bar{w}_b = \frac{D}{\hat{\alpha} + D} (1 + \epsilon_{D,b} + \epsilon_{\hat{\alpha},b})$

Extensions

Discount Rate \neq Interest Rate

\Rightarrow no change with CARA since effect on c is level shift

Sampling Job Durations

\Rightarrow let $\hat{\alpha} = E[\alpha_T | w > \bar{w}]$

$\Rightarrow \bar{w}_b = \frac{D}{\hat{\alpha} + D} (1 + \epsilon_{D,b} + \epsilon_{\hat{\alpha},b})$

Job Loss Risk

\Rightarrow assume job destruction at rate s

$\Rightarrow \bar{w}_b = \frac{D}{\frac{1}{r+s} + D} (1 + \epsilon_{D,b})$

Extensions

Discount Rate \neq Interest Rate

\Rightarrow no change with CARA since effect on c is level shift

Sampling Job Durations

\Rightarrow let $\hat{\alpha} = E[\alpha_T | w > \bar{w}]$

$\Rightarrow \bar{w}_b = \frac{D}{\hat{\alpha} + D} (1 + \epsilon_{D,b} + \epsilon_{\hat{\alpha},b})$

Job Loss Risk

\Rightarrow assume job destruction at rate s

$\Rightarrow \bar{w}_b = \frac{D}{\frac{1}{r+s} + D} (1 + \epsilon_{D,b})$

Costly Search Effort, e

$\Rightarrow U(c - v(e))$, arrival rate = $\lambda(e)$

\Rightarrow formula unchanged since e^* independent of wealth

Extensions

Discount Rate \neq Interest Rate

\Rightarrow no change with CARA since effect on c is level shift

Sampling Job Durations

\Rightarrow let $\hat{\alpha} = E[\alpha_T | w > \bar{w}]$

$\Rightarrow \bar{w}_b = \frac{D}{\hat{\alpha} + D} (1 + \epsilon_{D,b} + \epsilon_{\hat{\alpha},b})$

Job Loss Risk

\Rightarrow assume job destruction at rate s

$\Rightarrow \bar{w}_b = \frac{D}{\frac{1}{r+s} + D} (1 + \epsilon_{D,b})$

Costly Search Effort, e

$\Rightarrow U(c - v(e))$, arrival rate = $\lambda(e)$

\Rightarrow formula unchanged since e^* independent of wealth

Effort on the Job, e

$\Rightarrow U(c) - v(e)$, income = ew

\Rightarrow choose $e(w)$ s.t. $v'(e(w)) = w \Rightarrow$ isomorphic $F[e(w)w - v(e(w))]$