

Insurance and Opportunities: A Welfare Analysis of Labor Market Risk

Heathcote, Storesletten and Violante

May 2007

Presentation Outline

Goal of the paper

The Model

Welfare Analysis with Separable Preferences

Quantitative Welfare Analysis

Goal of the paper

Three Welfare Questions

- ▶ The welfare effect of a rise in wage dispersion
- ▶ The welfare gain from completing markets
- ▶ The welfare effect from eliminating risk

The Model

Key Assumptions

- ▶ Partial insurance: an individual's log wage is given by

$$\log(w_t) = \alpha + \epsilon_t$$

- ▶ Financial market structure: sequential trading in zero-supply Arrow securities
- ▶ Endogenous labor supply
- ▶ For each α -island can use static planner's problem
- ▶ Equal weight utilitarian welfare function

$$W = (1 - \beta)E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_t, h_t) = \int_A \int_E u(c(\alpha, \epsilon), h(\alpha, \epsilon)) d\Phi(\epsilon) d\Phi(\alpha)$$

Measuring Welfare

- ▶ Measuring welfare effects due to rising labor market risk

$$\int_A \int_E u((1+\omega)c(\alpha, \epsilon), h(\alpha, \epsilon)) d\Phi(\epsilon) d\Phi(\alpha) = \int_A \int_E u(\hat{c}(\alpha, \epsilon), \hat{h}(\alpha, \epsilon)) d\Phi(\hat{\epsilon}) d\Phi(\hat{\alpha})$$

Measuring Welfare

- ▶ Measuring welfare effects due to rising labor market risk

$$\int_A \int_E u((1+\omega)c(\alpha, \epsilon), h(\alpha, \epsilon)) d\Phi(\epsilon) d\Phi(\alpha) = \int_A \int_E u(\hat{c}(\alpha, \epsilon), \hat{h}(\alpha, \epsilon)) d\Phi(\hat{\epsilon}) d\Phi(\hat{\alpha})$$

- ▶ Measuring welfare effects due to completing markets

$$\int_A \int_E u((1+\chi)c(\alpha, \epsilon), h(\alpha, \epsilon)) d\Phi(\epsilon) d\Phi(\alpha) = \int_A \int_E u(c(0, \alpha+\epsilon), h(0, \alpha+\epsilon)) d\Phi(\epsilon) d\Phi(\alpha)$$

Measuring Welfare

- ▶ Measuring welfare effects due to rising labor market risk

$$\int_A \int_E u((1+\omega)c(\alpha, \epsilon), h(\alpha, \epsilon)) d\Phi(\epsilon) d\Phi(\alpha) = \int_A \int_E u(\hat{c}(\alpha, \epsilon), \hat{h}(\alpha, \epsilon)) d\Phi(\hat{\epsilon}) d\Phi(\hat{\alpha})$$

- ▶ Measuring welfare effects due to completing markets

$$\int_A \int_E u((1+\chi)c(\alpha, \epsilon), h(\alpha, \epsilon)) d\Phi(\epsilon) d\Phi(\alpha) = \int_A \int_E u(c(0, \alpha+\epsilon), h(0, \alpha+\epsilon)) d\Phi(\epsilon) d\Phi(\alpha)$$

- ▶ Measuring welfare effect of eliminating risk

$$\int_A \int_E u((1+\kappa)c(\alpha, \epsilon), h(\alpha, \epsilon)) d\Phi(\epsilon) d\Phi(\alpha) = \int_A \int_E u(\hat{c}(0, 0), \hat{h}(0, 0)) d\Phi(\epsilon) d\Phi(\alpha)$$

A Welfare Change Decomposition

- ▶ Floden, 2001: If $u(\cdot)$ is such that $u(xc, h) = g(x)u(c, h)$, then $\omega \approx \omega^{lev} + \omega^{vol}$
- ▶ The level effect captures welfare changes due to changing size of the pie

$$u((1 + \omega^{lev})C, H) = u(\hat{C}, \hat{H})$$

- ▶ Define the cost of uncertainty p which solves

$$u((1 - p)C, H) = \int_A \int_E u(c(\alpha, \epsilon), h(\alpha, \epsilon)) d\Phi(\epsilon) d\Phi(\alpha)$$

- ▶ The volatility effect capture changes in welfare due to changing distribution of the pie

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + \omega^{vol})(1 - p) &= 1 - \hat{p} \\ \omega^{vol} &= \frac{1 - \hat{p}}{1 - p} - 1 \end{aligned}$$

Welfare Analysis with Separable Preferences

Equilibrium Allocations

- ▶ Suppose preferences are separable

$$u(c, h) = \frac{c^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} - \psi \frac{h^{1+\sigma}}{1+\sigma},$$

where $\gamma, \sigma \in [0, \infty)$.

- ▶ Equilibrium labor allocation is

$$\log h(\alpha, \epsilon) = \left(\frac{1-\gamma}{\gamma+\sigma} \right) \alpha + \frac{1}{\sigma} \epsilon - \left(\frac{1+\sigma}{\gamma+\sigma} \right) \frac{\gamma}{\sigma^2} \frac{v_\epsilon}{2}$$

- ▶ Equilibrium consumption is given by

$$\log c(\alpha, \epsilon) = \left(\frac{1+\sigma}{\gamma+\sigma} \right) \alpha + \left(\frac{1+\sigma}{\gamma+\sigma} \right) \frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{v_\epsilon}{2}$$

Approximate Closed Form Expressions

- ▶ The approximate welfare effect from a change in labor market risk is

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(\Delta v_\alpha, \Delta v_\epsilon) &\approx - \left[\frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma + \sigma} + \gamma \left(\frac{1 + \sigma}{\gamma + \sigma} \right) \right] \frac{\Delta v_\alpha}{2} + \frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{\Delta v_\epsilon}{2} \\ &= \underbrace{- \frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma + \sigma} \Delta v_\alpha + \frac{1}{\sigma} \Delta v_\epsilon}_{\omega^{lev}} + \underbrace{\left[\frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma + \sigma} - \gamma \left(\frac{1 + \sigma}{\gamma + \sigma} \right) \right] \frac{\Delta v_\alpha}{2} - \frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{\Delta v_\epsilon}{2}}_{\omega^{vol}} \end{aligned}$$

Approximate Closed Form Expressions

- ▶ The approximate welfare effect from a change in labor market risk is

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(\Delta v_\alpha, \Delta v_\epsilon) &\approx - \left[\frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma + \sigma} + \gamma \left(\frac{1 + \sigma}{\gamma + \sigma} \right) \right] \frac{\Delta v_\alpha}{2} + \frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{\Delta v_\epsilon}{2} \\ &= \underbrace{- \frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma + \sigma} \Delta v_\alpha + \frac{1}{\sigma} \Delta v_\epsilon}_{\omega^{lev}} + \underbrace{\left[\frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma + \sigma} - \gamma \left(\frac{1 + \sigma}{\gamma + \sigma} \right) \right] \frac{\Delta v_\alpha}{2} - \frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{\Delta v_\epsilon}{2}}_{\omega^{vol}} \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ The approximate welfare gain from completing markets is

$$\chi(v_\alpha) = \omega(-v_\alpha, v_\alpha) \approx \left[\frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma + \sigma} + \gamma \left(\frac{1 + \sigma}{\gamma + \sigma} \right) \right] \frac{v_\alpha}{2} + \frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{v_\alpha}{2}$$

Approximate Closed Form Expressions

- ▶ The approximate welfare effect from a change in labor market risk is

$$\begin{aligned}\omega(\Delta v_\alpha, \Delta v_\epsilon) &\approx -\left[\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+\sigma} + \gamma\left(\frac{1+\sigma}{\gamma+\sigma}\right)\right] \frac{\Delta v_\alpha}{2} + \frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{\Delta v_\epsilon}{2} \\ &= \underbrace{-\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+\sigma} \Delta v_\alpha + \frac{1}{\sigma} \Delta v_\epsilon}_{\omega^{lev}} + \underbrace{\left[\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+\sigma} - \gamma\left(\frac{1+\sigma}{\gamma+\sigma}\right)\right] \frac{\Delta v_\alpha}{2} - \frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{\Delta v_\epsilon}{2}}_{\omega^{vol}}\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ The approximate welfare gain from completing markets is

$$\chi(v_\alpha) = \omega(-v_\alpha, v_\alpha) \approx \left[\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+\sigma} + \gamma\left(\frac{1+\sigma}{\gamma+\sigma}\right)\right] \frac{v_\alpha}{2} + \frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{v_\alpha}{2}$$

- ▶ The approximate welfare gain from eliminating risk is

$$\kappa(v_\alpha, v_\epsilon) = \omega(-v_\alpha, -v_\epsilon) \approx \left[\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+\sigma} + \gamma\left(\frac{1+\sigma}{\gamma+\sigma}\right)\right] \frac{v_\alpha}{2} - \frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{v_\epsilon}{2}$$

Quantitative Welfare Analysis

Calibration

- ▶ Preference parameters: $\gamma = \sigma = 2$ so that Frisch elasticity is 0.5
- ▶ Variance of log wages rose from 0.25 to 0.35, variance of log hours worked rose 0.082 to 0.092, and covariance between hours and wages rose from -0.023 to -0.006 (PSID 1968-1997)
- ▶ Measurement of insurable and uninsurable wage components: variance of the transitory component increases from 0.08 to 0.13; variance of permanent component increases from 0.17 to 0.22.
- ▶ Thus, set $\Delta v_\epsilon = \Delta v_\alpha = 0.05$ and when focusing for labor market uncertainty in the 1990's set $v_\alpha = 0.22$ and $v_\epsilon = 0.13$.

Results

Table: Welfare Effects with Separable Preferences (% of lifetime consumption)

ω^{vol}	ω^{lev}	ω	χ^{vol}	χ^{lev}	χ	κ^{vol}	κ^{lev}	κ
-4.38%	1.25%	-3.06%	8.3%	16.5%	29.2%	17%	-1%	17.8
-3.13%			24.8%			16%		

- ▶ When completing markets, level effect is twice as big as volatility effect
- ▶ Relative to complete market, eliminating the insurable component is welfare reducing
- ▶ Welfare gains from eliminating uninsurable risk exceed costs of eliminating insurable risk

Discussion

- ▶ Potential welfare gains larger than gains from aggregate stabilization
- ▶ Government should foster an environment to allow new insurance markets to develop
- ▶ Welfare costs of market incompleteness to be seen as upper bound